arXiv:1607.08558v1 [math.DG] 28 Jul 2016

RICCI FLOW AND VOLUME RENORMALIZABILITY

ERIC BAHUAUD, RAFE MAZZEO, AND ERIC WOOLGAR

Abstract. Relative to a special boundary defining function, conformally compact asymptotically hyperbolic metrics have an expansion in a collar neighborhood of conformal infinity. When this expansion is even to a certain order and satisfies an additional auxiliary condition, a renormalized volume is defined. We prove such expansions are preserved under the normalized Ricci flow. We study the variation of curvature functionals in this setting. As an application we obtain the following variation formula.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{RenV}(M^n, g(t)) = -\,{}^{R}\!\int_{M^n} (S(g(t)) + n(n-1))\, dV_{g(t)},$$

where $S(g(t))$ is the scalar curvature for the evolving metric $g(t)$, and ${}^{R}\!\int \cdot dV_g$ is the Riesz renormalization. This extends our earlier work to a broader class of metrics.

A complete, conformally compactifiable Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called asymptotically hyperbolic if it is conformally isometric to the interior of a smooth compact manifold $\overline{M}$ with boundary ∂M with curvatures tending to -1 at ∂M. Equivalently, g can be written as $g = \psi^*\rho^{-2}\overline{g}$, where $\overline{g}$ is smooth and nondegenerate up to the boundary ∂M defined by $\rho = 0$, and $|d\rho/\rho|^2_g \to 1$ at this boundary. We call ρ a *boundary defining function* for ∂M and call $(\partial M, [h_0])$ the *boundary-at-infinity* of (M, g), where $[h_0]$ is the conformal class of the metric h_0 induced on ∂M by $\overline{g}$. Such metrics provide the setting for many interesting problems and investigations in geometric analysis and physics. It is known [11] that if g is AH, then there exists another boundary defining function x such that, in a collar neighborhood of the boundary,

$$g = \frac{dx^2 + h(x)}{x^2}, \tag{0.1}$$

where $h \sim \sum x^j h_j$ is a smooth family of metrics on ∂M. Then x is called a *special defining function* for ∂M. The 'special metrics' in this class are called Poincaré-Einstein (PE); a more general class consists of the asymptotically Poincaré-Einstein (APE) metrics, which are conformally compact and have an Einstein tensor

$$E(g) = \mathrm{Rc}(g) + (n-1)g \tag{0.2}$$

that vanishes near ∂M up to corrections of order x^n ($n = \dim M$). There are slight differences in this description depending on whether n is even or odd. To simplify the discussion here, and also because it is our primary focus in this paper, we assume henceforth that n is even.

The normalized Ricci flow in this setting is the system of equations

$$\partial_t\, g = -2E(g)\ ,\ t \in [0, T), \qquad g(0) = g^0. \tag{0.3}$$

Date: July 29, 2016.

Key words and phrases. Ricci flow, conformally compact metrics, asymptotically hyperbolic metrics, renormalized volume.

The normalization factor fixes the conformal infinity in time. PE metrics are the stationary points of this normalized Ricci flow, but this flow also preserves the class of APE metrics [3].

AH metrics have exponential volume growth, but using the regularity of the metric at infinity, i.e., near ∂M, it is sometimes possible to define an Hadamard renormalized volume $\mathrm{RenV}(M,g)$. This is done by computing the volume of (M,g) in the compact set $\{x \geq \epsilon\}$, expanding the result in powers of ϵ (there can also be $\log \epsilon$ terms when the bulk dimension n is odd), and taking the limit at $\epsilon = 0$ after discarding the singular terms in this expansion. While this may be done for any AH metric with a fixed special defining function x, it turns out [10] that this has an invariant meaning which is independent of the choice of x provided g satisfies an auxiliary condition concerning the omission of a certain number of odd terms in the expansion of the term $h(x)$. More precisely, (i) $h(x)$ must have an even expansion to order $n-2$, and (ii) the first odd term in this expansion must have vanishing trace. We call AH metrics which satisfy condition (i) *partially even*. Partially even metrics that satisfy condition (ii) are called *volume renormalizable*. Thus we are asserting that (when n is even) the renormalized volume of an AH metric g has a well-defined, invariant meaning if and only if these conditions are satisfied. Significantly, every even dimensional PE metric, as well as every even dimensional APE metric, satisfies these conditions.

AH metrics with various assumptions on the evenness of the expansion have been considered frequently before. Perhaps most important is the appearance of this condition in geometric scattering theory. The paper [14] by the second author and Melrose established a general construction in the framework of what is now called geometric microlocal analysis for the resolvent of the scalar Laplacian of an AH metric. More specifically, it is proved there that the family of operators $(\Delta + \zeta(n-1-\zeta))^{-1}$, which depends holomorphically on ζ in the right half-plane $\mathrm{Re}\,\zeta > (n-1)/2$, extends to a meromorphic function in the punctured plane $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{(n-1)/2 - j, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. That analysis left open the possibility that there could be an accumulation of poles at this sequence of points along the (shifted) negative real axis. An important paper by Guillarmou [13] clarified the situation by showing that this accumulation does not happen precisely when the metric g is even to all orders. This has many significant consequences for the scattering theory. This evenness condition has also appeared in the more recent and quite different approach by Vasy [16] for studying the meromorphic continuation of this resolvent family.

In our earlier paper [3], we studied the behaviour of RenV under Ricci flow for an evolving family of APE metrics. Our goal in this paper is to extend this analysis by studying this Ricci evolution and its effect on the renormalized volume for the entire class of partially even metrics. The key difference between this larger class of metrics and the APEs is that the coefficient tensors h_{2j}, $1 \leq j \leq (n-2)/2$, and $\mathrm{tr}^{h_0} h_{n-1}$ in the expansion for h are formally determined by the leading coefficient h_0 when g is APE, whereas they are independent of one another when g is partially even. The interrelationships between these tensors when g is APE is precisely what forces the Einstein tensor to vanish to order n in that case, while for partially even metrics, the Einstein tensor need only vanish to second order.

We now state our main theorems.

Theorem A. *Suppose that (M, g^0), $\dim M =: n = 2m$, is partially even, and let $g(t)$ be a solution of (0.3) with $g(0) = g^0$ with maximal interval of existence $[0, T_0)$. Then $(M, g(t))$ remains partially even for $t < T_0$.*

This is proved in several steps. The first is to show that the Ricci-DeTurck flow preserves the property of being partially even relative to a fixed defining function. This depends crucially on the earlier result by the first author [2] that the Ricci-DeTurck and Ricci flows preserve the property of being conformally compact (or polyhomogeneous). We then argue that the transformation which carries this to a solution of the Ricci flow preserves the form of the expansion. We then show that the re-expression of $g(t)$ relative to the appropriate special boundary defining function x (which depends on t) still preserves this form of the expansion.

Our second main result computes the variation of the renormalized volume along this flow. We cast this slightly more generally by proving that the variation through volume renormalizable metrics of any curvature functional is just the renormalized first variation of the same form that, on compact manifolds, yields the Euler-Lagrange equations (usually after integration by parts), see Theorem 4.4 below. An immediate application is the

Theorem B. *Let (M, g^0), $n = 2m$, be volume renormalizable, and $g(t)$ the solution of (0.3) with $g(0) = g^0$. Then*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{RenV} = -\,{}^{R}\!\int_M S(g(t)) + n(n-1)\, dV_{g_t}\ . \tag{0.4}$$

Here ${}^{R}\!\int(\cdot)dV_g$ indicates that the integral is not classically convergent, but must be regularized in a way to be made precise below. The analogue of formula (0.4) in [3] appears almost exactly the same except that when $g(t)$ is APE, the integral is convergent and there is no need to renormalize the integral.

There is recent work on the Kähler-Ricci flow in the setting of asymptotically complex hyperbolic metrics. It is proved in [15] that polyhomogeneity is preserved under this flow. There is an analogue of renormalized volume in this setting and it would be interesting to understand how our results could be carried over.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we review the families of asymptotically hyperbolic metrics considered here and establish some of basic properties involving even expansions. In Section 2 we prove Theorem A that the normalized Ricci flow of a partially even metric remains partially even, and in Section 3 we show that the volume renormalizability condition also persists under the Ricci flow. We defer several long computations needed in this section to the Appendix. Finally in Section 4 we review the Riesz renormalization and apply it to the variation of curvature functionals. We then prove Theorem B.

Acknowledgements. EB and RM are grateful to Robin Graham for discussions related to this work. The work of EW was supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant RGPIN 203614. RM was supported by the NSF grants DMS-1105050 and DMS-1608223.

1. Fefferman-Graham expansions of AH metrics

1.1. **Taxonomy of conformally compactifiable metrics.** As in the Introduction, let $\overline{M}$ be a compact manifold with boundary and M its interior.

A metric g on M is called conformally compact if

$$g = \rho^{-2}\overline{g}\ , \tag{1.1}$$

where both ρ and $\overline{g}$ are $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ up to ∂M, and $\overline{g}$ is a metric on $\overline{M}$.

Any such metric has a sort of boundary value on ∂M,

$$\mathfrak{c}(g) = \left[\rho^2 g\big|_{T\partial M}\right], \tag{1.2}$$

called its conformal infinity. Furthermore, a conformally compact metric is called *asymptotically hyperbolic*, abbreviated as AH, if $|d\rho|^2_{\overline{g}} = 1$ at ∂M. Note that g is unchanged if we replace ρ and $\overline{g}$ by $a\rho$ and $a^2\overline{g}$ for any smooth positive function a, but nonetheless both $\mathfrak{c}(g)$ and the AH condition are well-defined.

Now, if g is AH and we select a representative metric $h_0 \in \mathfrak{c}(g)$, then there exists a uniquely determined 'special' boundary defining function x which satisfies $|dx|^2_{x^2 g} \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of the boundary. With this choice of x, the flow lines of the gradient $\nabla^{\overline{g}} x$ identify a collar neighborhood of ∂M with $[0,1) \times \partial M$, and by Gauss' Lemma

$$g = \frac{dx^2 + h(x)}{x^2}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $h(x)$ is a smooth family of metrics on ∂M. This is the Graham-Lee normal form [11]. We shall be primarily concerned with the terms in the asymptotic expansion

$$h(x) = h_0 + h_1 x + h_2 x^2 + \cdots . \tag{1.4}$$

For some of the computations below we will also need a full coordinate system near the boundary of M. Let $\{y^\alpha\}$ be any coordinates on ∂M extended to be constant along the integral curves of $\nabla^{\overline{g}} x$. We use greek indices to index these tangential directions, x to index $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, and latin indices to include both tangential and normal directions. In the expansion above, the coefficient tensors are functions of the y-coordinates alone.

Definition 1.1. *An AH metric g is called* even to order 2ℓ *if, in Graham-Lee normal form* (1.2)*, the expansion for $h(x)$ contains no terms h_{2j+1} with $j \leq \ell - 1$, i.e.,*

$$h(x) \sim h_0 + x^2 h_2 + \cdots + x^{2\ell} h_{2\ell} + x^{2\ell+1} h_{2\ell+1} + ..., \tag{1.5}$$

Our main focus will be on AH metrics g which are even to order $n-2$, and we call these simply partially even*.*

Definition 1.2. *We say that g is* volume renormalizable *(VR) if it is partially even and in addition satisfies*

$$\mathrm{tr}^{h_0} h_{n-1} = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

We now recall one of the most important classes of AH metrics

Definition 1.3. *An AH metric g is called* Poincaré-Einstein *(PE) if its Einstein tensor $E(g)$, defined in* (0.2)*, vanishes identically.*

Since our primary concern is with asymptotic expansions at the boundary, it is also natural to introduce the

Definition 1.4. *An AH metric g is called* asymptotically Poincaré-Einstein *(APE) if $|E(g)|_g = \mathcal{O}(x^n)$.*

If g is APE, or in particular PE, then it is known, see [9], that every odd coefficient h_{2j+1}, $0 \leq j \leq (n-4)/2$, must vanish while the even coefficients h_{2j}, $1 \leq j \leq (n-2)/2$, can be expressed as universal differential operators applied to h_0, see [9], and finally, $\mathrm{tr}^{h_0} h_{n-1} = 0$. This implies that when n is even, PE $\implies$ APE $\implies$ VR $\implies$ partially even $\implies$ AH.

We have not included the slightly different results when n is odd; the key difference in that case is that when g is PE, the expansion for $h(x)$ may also include the terms $x^{n-1+j}(\log x)^\ell \tilde{h}_{j\ell}$, $j \geq 0$. When $n = 2m$, if g is merely $\mathcal{C}^2$ conformally compact and Einstein, then a regularity theorem due to Chruściel, Delay, Lee and Skinner [6] shows that if $h_0 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$, then $h(x)$ is automatically smooth up to $x = 0$, i.e., it is not necessary to assume a priori that g is smoothly conformally compact, so long as its conformal infinity contains a smooth representative.

1.2. **Partially even metrics.** As before, we assume that $n = \dim M$ is even, and write $n = 2m$. To make Definition 1.1 sensible, we must establish the following

Proposition 1.5. *If an AH metric g is even to order 2ℓ in the normal form corresponding to one choice of metric $h_0 \in \mathfrak{c}(g)$, then it is even to the same order with respect to any other metric $h_0' \in \mathfrak{c}(g)$.*

The proof appears in [13, Lemma 2.1]. We give a proof by an alternative method.

Proof. Write $h_0' = e^{2\omega_0} h_0$, where $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\partial M)$. The special boundary defining function x' associated to h_0' is then given by $x' = e^\omega x$, where $|d(e^\omega x)/(e^\omega x)|_g^2 \equiv 1$. Expand this, writing $\overline{g} = x^2 g$, and using that $|dx/x|_g^2 \equiv 1$, to get

$$2x\langle d\omega, dx\rangle_{\overline{g}} + x^2 |d\omega|_{\overline{g}}^2 = 0, \qquad \omega|_{\partial M} = \omega_0. \tag{1.7}$$

As in [11], divide by x to obtain a nondegenerate Hamilton-Jacobi equation, which means that there is a unique solution $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$ for $0 \leq x < \epsilon$. In the normal form for $\overline{g}$, this equation becomes

$$\partial_x \omega + \frac{1}{2} x \left((\partial_x \omega)^2 + h^{\alpha\beta}(x) \partial_{y^\alpha} \omega \partial_{y^\beta} \omega \right) = 0. \tag{1.8}$$

There are two different ways to see from this that ω must be even to order $2\ell + 2$. We now explain both approaches.

The first involves simply computing the successive coefficients. Thus expand $\omega \sim \sum \omega_j(y) x^j$, substitute into the equation, and collect like powers of x, to get the sequence of equations

$$2j\omega_j + \sum_{2p+q+r+2=j} h_{2p}^{\alpha\beta} (\partial_{y^\alpha} \omega_q)(\partial_{y^\beta} \omega_r) = 0, \qquad j \leq 2\ell. \tag{1.9}$$

If $j = 1$, then the second summand is empty, so $\omega_1 = 0$. Next, consider any ω_{2j+1}, $j \leq \ell$. The terms in the accompanying sum have $2p+q+r+2 = 2j+1$, hence $p \leq j-1$, $q, r \leq 2j-1$, and precisely one of q or r must be odd. By induction, either $\omega_q = 0$ or $\omega_r = 0$. In any case, each summand has a vanishing factor, so $\omega_{2j+1} = 0$. This means that the first possible odd term is $x^{2\ell+3}$.

For the other approach we need a bit of notation. Let $\widetilde{M}$ denote the double of M across its boundary. The atlas of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ functions on $\widetilde{M}$ is determined uniquely once we specify an identification of a collar neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of ∂M with the product $\partial M \times [0, \epsilon)$; the double of this neighborhood, $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}$, is then endowed with the atlas of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ functions on the product $\partial M \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. This product decomposition is determined by following the gradient flow lines of $\nabla^{\overline{g}} x$; in other words, the choice of $h_0 \in \mathfrak{c}(g)$ determines x and $\overline{g}$ and hence the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ structure on $\widetilde{M}$.

Now fix the atlas on $\widetilde{M}$ associated to h_0; it is clear that ω is even to order $2\ell + 2$ if and only if its even extension $\tilde{\omega}$ to $\widetilde{M}$ is $\mathcal{C}^{2\ell+2,\mu}$ for every $0 < \mu < 1$. (Of course, $\tilde{\omega}$

is also $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ on each 'side' of ∂M in $\widetilde{M}$.) However, this extended function is a solution of the nondegenerate extended Hamilton-Jacobi equation obtained by extending the coefficients $h^{\alpha\beta}$ of (1.8) as even functions and x as an odd function. The extended coefficients $h^{\alpha\beta}(x)$ are in the Hölder class $\mathcal{C}^{2\ell,\mu}$ (but not in $\mathcal{C}^{2\ell+1}$ if the coefficients of $|x|^{2\ell+1}$ in their expansions do not vanish), so standard theory shows that the solution lies in $\mathcal{C}^{2\ell+1,\mu}$. This shows that $\tilde{\omega}$ is even to order $2\ell+2$ as claimed.

To finish the argument, if $\hat{h}_0 = e^{2\omega_0} h_0$ and $\hat{x} = e^{2\omega} x$ is the new special boundary defining function, then the metric $\hat{g} = e^{2\omega}\overline{g} = (\hat{x})^2 g$ is $\mathcal{C}^{2\ell,\mu}$ on $\widetilde{M}$, so the metric induced by pullback under the map $(\hat{x}, y) \to \exp_y^{\hat{g}}(\hat{x}\hat{\nu})$ is $\mathcal{C}^{2\ell-1,\mu}$ (here $\hat{\nu} = e^{-\omega_0(y)}\bar{\nu}$ is the $\hat{g}$ unit normal to ∂M). But this metric is in normal form and hence is even to order 2ℓ. □

It follows from this proof that if g is an AH metric which is even to order 2ℓ, then it defines an equivalence class of defining functions $[x]$, where $x \sim x'$ if x'/x is even to order $2\ell+2$.

We now extend this discussion by considering how to recognize partially even AH metrics which are not written in normal form. Thus fix an AH metric g and suppose that x is some boundary defining function. As before we set $\overline{g} = x^2 g$ and use the gradient lines of $\nabla^{\overline{g}} x$ to define a smooth structure on the double $\widetilde{M}$, and finally, consider the extended metric $\tilde{g}$ which restricts to $\overline{g}$ on M and satisfies $I^*\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}$, where $I : \widetilde{M} \to \widetilde{M}$ is reflection across the submanifold $\partial M \subset \widetilde{M}$.

Definition 1.6. *We say that g is even to order j relative to an arbitrary boundary defining function x if $\tilde{g}$ is $\mathcal{C}^j$ on $\widetilde{M}$ (still recalling that it is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ on each side of ∂M).*

If $j = 2\ell$, it is straightforward to see that if g satisfies this condition, then relative to any choice of coordinates y on ∂M, the components $\overline{g}_{xx}$ and $\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ are even to order 2ℓ while $\overline{g}_{x\alpha}$ is odd to order $2\ell+1$ (i.e., it is of the form $x m_{x\alpha}$ where $m_{x\alpha}$ is even to order 2ℓ). To explain this last condition, note that a term of order 2ℓ in the expansion for $\overline{g}_{x\alpha}$ with the correct parity must be of the form $a(y)(\operatorname{sgn} x)|x|^{2\ell}$, and hence is not $\mathcal{C}^{2\ell}$. On the other hand, if $j = 2\ell+1$, then evenness to order j implies that $\overline{g}_{xx}$ and $\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ are even to order $j+1 = 2\ell+2$ while $\overline{g}_{x\alpha}$ is still just odd to order $2\ell+1$. If the components of $\overline{g}$ satisfy these parity conditions, then by Cramer's formula, the components $(\overline{g})^{ij}$ of the inverse matrix are also $\mathcal{C}^{2\ell}$ across $x = 0$, hence these too satisfy the same parity conditions. Clearly, if g is even to order 2ℓ in the sense of Definition 1.2, then g is even to order 2ℓ in the sense of Definition 1.6 relative to a special defining function x. Conversely, we have the following result.

Lemma 1.7. *If g is even to order 2ℓ relative to any fixed boundary defining function in the sense of Definition 1.6, then it is even to order 2ℓ in the sense of Definition 1.2, i.e., it is even to order 2ℓ with respect to any special boundary defining function.*

Proof. If x denotes the initial defining function, let $h_0 = x^2 g$ restricted to $T\partial M$. We seek $x' = e^\omega x$ such that $|dx'/x'|^2_g = 1$, $\omega_0(y) = \omega(0, y) \equiv 0$, or equivalently

$$2\langle dx, d\omega\rangle_{\overline{g}} + x|d\omega|^2_{\overline{g}} = \frac{1 - |dx|^2_{\overline{g}}}{x}, \qquad \omega|_{\partial M} = 0. \tag{1.10}$$

In contrast to (1.8), the right hand side of this equation is nonvanishing, but there still exists a unique solution ω which is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ for $0 \le x < \epsilon$, and the only issue is the degree of smoothness of its even extension across $x = 0$. However, $x^{-1}(|dx|^2_{\overline{g}} - 1) = x^{-1}(\overline{g}^{xx} - 1)$

is odd to order $2\ell-1$, hence lies in $\mathcal{C}^{2\ell-1}$, and the solution of this equation is one order smoother, i.e. lies in $\mathcal{C}^{2\ell}$. This proves that ω is even to order 2ℓ.

Now set $g' = (x')^2 g = e^{2\omega}\overline{g}$ and write $\tilde{g}'$ for its I-invariant extension. From the paragraph above, $\tilde{g}' \in \mathcal{C}^{2\ell}$. In fact, the metric is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ in the tangential direction and its irregularity is only in the direction normal to the boundary (technically, it is polyhomogeneous at the boundary). It is standard to show that the exponential mapping $\Phi : NM \to M$ from the normal bundle of ∂M to M, $\Phi(x,y) = \exp_y(x\nu(y))$ is smooth in the 'base' variable $y \in \partial M$ and $\mathcal{C}^{2\ell+1}$ in x. Hence $\Phi^*\tilde{g}' = d(x')^2 + \tilde{h}'_{\alpha\beta}(x',y)dy^\alpha dy^\beta$, where $\tilde{h}'_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{C}^{2\ell}$, or finally, g' is even to order 2ℓ. □

2. Partially even metrics and Ricci flow

Our aim in this section is to prove the following.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that g^0 is an AH metric which is even to order 2ℓ. Let $g(t)$ be the unique solution to the Ricci flow equation (0.3) with initial condition g^0. Then $g(t)$ remains even to order 2ℓ throughout its time of existence.*

Notice that we do not use the gauged Ricci flow equation here since we are taking the existence of the solution as given. Indeed, we take from [2] the fact that there is a unique solution to (0.3) and this remains smoothly conformally compact throughout its maximal time interval of existence.

Proof. First appeal to the transformation formula for the Ricci tensor under a conformal change of metric, see [4]: if $g = x^{-2}\overline{g}$, then $E(g) = \mathrm{Rc}(g) + (n-1)g = x^{-2}\overline{E}(\overline{g})$, where

$$\overline{E}(\overline{g}) = -(n-1)\left(|dx|^2_{\overline{g}} - 1\right)\overline{g} + \left((n-2)\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x) + (\Delta_{\overline{g}}x)\,\overline{g}\right)x + \mathrm{Rc}(\overline{g})\,x^2 \ . \tag{2.1}$$

In the following computations, we use a fixed defining function x, which is a special boundary defining function for the initial metric g^0, but of course no longer has this property for $g(t)$, $t > 0$.

We shall analyze the equation

$$\partial_t\,\overline{g} = -2\overline{E}(\overline{g}) \ , \tag{2.2}$$

which is obtained if we multiply (0.3) by x^2.

As a first step, consider the restriction of this equation to $x = 0$:

$$\partial_t\left(\overline{g}|_{x=0}\right) = 2(n-1)(|dx|^2_{\overline{g}} - 1)\left(\overline{g}|_{x=0}\right) \ . \tag{2.3}$$

Since g is AH, the leading coefficient $|dx|^2_{\overline{g}} - 1$ vanishes at $t = 0$, which shows that the restriction (not just the pullback) of $\overline{g}$ to the boundary is invariant under the flow. It is thus reasonable to write the evolving solution in the form $g(t) = g^0 + k(t)$, where $|k(t)|_{g^0} = \mathcal{O}(x)$. This was the ansatz in (0.3) in [2], and a uniqueness theorem in this setting [5] shows that any solution of (2.2) in this quasi-isometry class must agree with the solution in [2]. The proof in [2] also shows that $k(t) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{M})$ for every $t \geq 0$. Consequently, $\overline{E}(x^2 g(t)) = 0$ at ∂M for all $t \geq 0$.

Now rewrite (2.2) as an equation for the evolving tensor $v(t) = \overline{g}(t) - \overline{g^0}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\overline{k}(t) = {}& 2(n-1)\left(|dx|^2_{\overline{g}(t)} - 1\right)\overline{g}(t) - 2\left((n-2)\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}(t)}(x) + (\Delta_{\overline{g}(t)}x)\,\overline{g}(t)\right)x \\ & - 2\,\mathrm{Rc}(\overline{g}(t))\,x^2.\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Define the double $\widetilde{M}$ in terms of the fixed defining function x and consider (2.4) on this space. The key point is that $\overline{E}$ commutes with I^*, i.e. if $\hat{g}$ is any extension of $\overline{g}$ to $\widetilde{M}$, then

$$I^*\overline{E}(\hat{g}) = \overline{E}(I^*\hat{g}) \ . \tag{2.5}$$

We shall work with the even extension $\tilde{g}$, where $I^*\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}$, so that $I^*\overline{E}(\tilde{g}) = \overline{E}(\tilde{g})$.

We now argue through a sequence of claims:

Claim 1: Let g be even to order j. Then $\overline{E}(\tilde{g}) \in \mathcal{C}^j$, or equivalently, $\overline{E}(\overline{g})$ is even to order j.

To prove this, note that $\overline{E}$ is a "0-differential operator", which means that every derivative ∂_x or ∂_{y^α} is accompanied by a factor of x. When j is even, then the first term in $\tilde{g}$, the even extension of $x^2 g$, which is not $\mathcal{C}^\infty$, occurs with the factor $|x|^{j+1}$; furthermore, the normal derivatives which act on this are either $x\partial_x$ or $x^2\partial_x^2$, neither of which decrease the order of vanishing. This means that $\overline{E}(\tilde{g}) \in \mathcal{C}^j$ across $x = 0$. Similarly, when j is odd, the leading nonsmooth term for the reflected metric occurs at order $j+1$ again.

To state the next claim, fix any $\overline{g}$ which is even to order $j \leq 2\ell$, and let v be smooth up to $x = 0$ and denote by $\Pi^{e,j}(x^j v)$ and $\Pi^{o,j}(x^j v)$ the even and odd components of $x^j v$ at order j. Also, write

$$\overline{E}(\overline{g} + x^j v) = \overline{E}(\overline{g}) + D\overline{E}\big|_{\overline{g}}(x^j v) + \mathcal{O}(x^{2j}), \tag{2.6}$$

and for simplicity, set $D\overline{E}\big|_{\overline{g}} = \mathcal{L}$.

Claim 2: If v is smooth for $x \geq 0$, then

$$\Pi^{e,j}(\mathcal{L}x^j v) = \mathcal{L}(\Pi^{e,j}(x^j v)). \tag{2.7}$$

Suppose that $x^j v$ is even to order j and define $\hat{g} = \overline{g} + \Pi^{e,j}(x^j v)$. By Claim 1, $\overline{E}(\overline{g}) \in \mathcal{C}^j$. Furthermore, $\overline{E}(\hat{g}) \in \mathcal{C}^j$ and the quadratic error term vanishes like x^{2j}. Hence $\mathcal{L}(x^j v) \in \mathcal{C}^j$, which means that it is even to order j as well. This proves (2.7).

Claim 3: Suppose that $\overline{g}$ is even to order k and $v \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{M})$; then for $j \leq k$,

$$\Pi^{o,j}(\mathcal{L}x^j v) = \mathcal{L}(\Pi^{o,j}(x^j v)) = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Unlike the even case, this seems to require direct calculation. As before, set $\hat{g} = \overline{g} + x^j v$. We shall calculate the leading coefficients of the linearizations of each of the terms $(|dx|^2_{\hat{g}} - 1)\hat{g}$, $x\mathrm{Hess}_{\hat{g}}(x)$, $x\Delta_{\hat{g}} x\, \hat{g}$ and $x^2\,\mathrm{Rc}(\hat{g})$ separately (for simplicity we do not bother to assemble these with the correct coefficients into the operator $\mathcal{L}$). This will show immediately that both (2.8) and (2.7) must hold.

In these calculations it is convenient to work in a coordinate system (x, y) around $p \in \partial M$ for which $\overline{g} = dx^2 + \overline{g}_{\alpha\beta} dy^\alpha dy^\beta$ (thus x is the $\overline{g}$-distance to ∂M), and so that in addition $\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}(p) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ and all Christoffel symbols of $\overline{g}$ vanish at p. The following computations are all carried out at the point p and modulo x^{j+1}, and we use $\equiv$ in this sense. Thus, for example, $\hat{g}^{-1} \equiv \delta - v$, etc.

The first term is

$$(|dx|^2_{\hat{g}} - 1)\hat{g} = (\hat{g}^{00} - 1)\hat{g} \equiv (\delta^{00} - 1 - x^j v_{00})(\overline{g} + v) = -x^j v_{00}\overline{g}. \tag{2.9}$$

Next,

$$x\mathrm{Hess}_{\hat{g}}(x)_{ij} = -x\widehat{\Gamma}^x_{ij}, \quad \text{and} \qquad x\Delta_{\hat{g}} x = -x\hat{g}^{ij}\widehat{\Gamma}^x_{ij}. \tag{2.10}$$

A computation in the appendix shows that

$$x\widehat{\Gamma}^x_{xx} \equiv (j/2)x^j v_{00}, \quad x\widehat{\Gamma}^x_{x\alpha} \equiv 0, \quad \text{and} \qquad x\widehat{\Gamma}^x_{\alpha\beta} \equiv -(j/2)x^j v_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{2.11}$$

hence in addition,

$$x\hat{g}^{ij}\widehat{\Gamma}^x_{ij} \equiv (j/2)x^j(v_{00} - \overline{g}^{\alpha\beta}v_{\alpha\beta}). \tag{2.12}$$

As for the final set of terms,

$$\begin{aligned} x^2\,\mathrm{Rc}(\hat{g})_{xx} &\equiv -x^2\partial_x\widehat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha x} \equiv -\frac{1}{2}j(j-1)\delta^{\alpha\beta}x^j v_{\alpha\beta} \\ x^2\,\mathrm{Rc}(\hat{g})_{x\alpha} &\equiv 0 \\ x^2\,\mathrm{Rc}(\hat{g})_{\alpha\beta} &\equiv -\frac{1}{2}j(j-1)/2x^j v_{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

It is clear from these calculations that for any j, $\mathcal{L}\circ\Pi^{o,j} = 0$. On the other hand, since any $v \equiv \Pi^{e,j}v + \Pi^{o,j}v$ and $\mathcal{L}\Pi^{e,j}v$ is even at order j, we deduce that $\Pi^{o,j}(\mathcal{L}v) = 0$ as well. This proves the claim.

We are now in a position to complete the proof. Trivially, $\overline{g}(t)$ is even to order 0. Suppose we have shown that $\overline{g}(t)$ is even to order j for any j with $0 \leq j \leq 2\ell - 1$. Write $\overline{g}(t) = \overline{g^0} + v(t)$, and assume by induction we have shown that $v(t)$ is even to order j. Applying $\Pi^{o,j}$ to the equation (2.4) and noting that

$$\Pi^{o,j}\overline{E}(\overline{g} + v) = \mathcal{L}(\Pi^{o,j}(v)) = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

we obtain

$$\partial_t\Pi^{o,j}(v(t)) = 0, \quad \Pi^{o,j}v\big|_{t=0} \equiv 0. \tag{2.15}$$

This is a well-defined evolution equation acting on $\Pi^{o,j}v$, the first odd term in the expansion for v. This implies of course that $\Pi^{o,j}v(t) \equiv 0$, hence v is in fact even to order $j+1$. This argument works for every $j \leq 2\ell - 1$, so $\overline{g}(t)$ remains even to order 2ℓ, as claimed. □

3. The volume renormalizability condition

We have now proved that if g^0 is even to order $2m-2$ (where $2m = \dim M$), then $g(t)$ remains even to order $2m-2$ throughout the interval of existence. In this section we study the evolution of the first odd term h_{2m-1}. Recall that g^0 is volume renormalizable when $\mathrm{tr}^{h_0}h_{2m-1} = 0$.

Proposition 3.1. *If g^0 is volume renormalizable, then the solution $g(t)$ to the Ricci flow with initial condition g^0 remains volume renormalizable so long as this solution is defined.*

The proof proceeds very much as before. We show that some version of the scalar function $\mathrm{tr}^{h_0}h_{2m-1}$ satisfies a homogeneous ordinary differential equation in t with initial condition 0, and hence vanishes for $t \geq 0$. The actual quantity we study is slightly more complicated, and is defined below.

Having fixed the initial metric g^0 and representative $h_0 \in \mathfrak{c}(g^0)$, let x be the corresponding special boundary defining function. We define the t-independent metric

$$G = \frac{dx^2 + h_0}{x^2} \tag{3.1}$$

by truncating the expansion of the tangential metric $h(x)$ in the normal form for g^0. We also set $\overline{G} = x^2G$.

Next define the function

$$F := \mathrm{tr}^{\overline{G}}\overline{g}(t) = \mathrm{tr}^{G} g(t). \tag{3.2}$$

Clearly F is smooth up to $x = 0$ for every $t \geq 0$. Moreover, since G and g are even, F is even to order $2m-2$. Our interest is in the first odd term in the expansion of F.

Let us introduce some notation: if $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{M})$ then the coefficient of the i^{th} term its expansion with respect to the fixed defining function x will be denoted $\{f\}_i$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mu = \mu(t,y) = \{F\}_{2m-1}$. Then*

$$\mu = \{\overline{g}_{xx}\}_{2m-1} + (h_0)^{\alpha\beta}\{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1}. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Note that since $\overline{G}^{x\alpha} \equiv 0$,

$$F = \overline{G}^{xx}\overline{g}_{xx} + \overline{G}^{\alpha\beta}\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{3.4}$$

where all indices are raised with respect to $\overline{G}$. The result is now straightforward. □

Proposition 3.3. *Setting $\nu = \{\overline{g}_{xx}\}_{2m-1}$ and $\mu = \{\overline{g}_{xx}\}_{2m-1} + (h_0)^{\alpha\beta}\{\overline{g}(t)_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1}$ as above, and denoting by $'$ the time-derivative ∂_t, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu' &= -2(2m-1)\mu \\ \nu' &= (2m-3)(2m-1)\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. We must compute the first odd term in the expansion of

$$\begin{aligned} F' &= G^{ij}g'_{ij} = -2G^{ij}E_{ij} = -2\overline{G}^{ij}\overline{E}_{ij} \\ &= -2(2m-1)\,(1-|dx|^2_{\overline{g}})\overline{G}^{ij}\overline{g}_{ij} - 2x\overline{G}^{ij}\left[(n-2)\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{ij} + (\Delta_{\overline{g}}x)\,\overline{g}_{ij}\right] \\ &\quad -2x^2\overline{G}^{ij}\,\mathrm{Rc}(\overline{g})_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Let us decompose the expression on the right as the sum of the three terms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= -2(2m-1)(1-|dx|^2_{\overline{g}})\overline{G}^{ij}\overline{g}_{ij}, \\ \mathcal{B} &= -2x(2m-2)\overline{G}^{ij}\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{ij} - 2x\overline{g}^{kl}\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{kl}\cdot\overline{G}^{ij}\overline{g}_{ij}, \\ \mathcal{C} &= -2\overline{G}^{ij}(x^2\,\mathrm{Rc}(\overline{g})_{ij}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Powers of x have been distributed so that these may be expressed in terms of 0-derivatives $x\partial_x$ and $x\partial_{y_\alpha}$, which assists with the parity bookkeeping.

First consider $\mathcal{A}$. Write $|dx|^2_{\overline{g}} \sim 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} A_{2j}x^{2j} + A_{2m-1}x^{2m-1}$. Since $F = \overline{G}^{ij}\overline{g}_{ij}$ has leading coefficient $(\overline{G}^{ij}\overline{g}_{ij})|_{x=0} = 2m$ and is even to order $2m-2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{A}\}_{2m-1} &= -2(2m-1)\left\{(1-|dx|^2_{\overline{g}})\overline{G}^{ij}\overline{g}_{ij}\right\}_{2m-1} \\ &= -2(2m-1)\left(\left\{1-|dx|^2_{\overline{g}}\right\}_0\left\{\overline{G}^{ij}\overline{g}_{ij}\right\}_{2m-1} + \left\{1-|dx|^2_{\overline{g}}\right\}_{2m-1}\left\{\overline{G}^{ij}\overline{g}_{ij}\right\}_0\right) \\ &= 4m(2m-1)A_{2m-1} = -4m(2m-1)\nu, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma A.1 in the appendix.

The calculation for $\mathcal{B}$ is more difficult, and we relegate certain long parity calculations to the appendix. We begin by studying $x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)$, and record the parity of each component, the leading coefficient and the coefficient of the first odd term.

First,

$$[x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)]_{\alpha\beta} = x\left(\partial^2_{\alpha\beta}x - \overline{\Gamma}^k_{\alpha\beta}\partial_k x\right) = -x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{3.9}$$

From the appendix, this expression is even to order $2m-2$ with leading order 2 and first odd coefficient

$$\{[x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)]_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1} = \frac{1}{2}(2m-1)\{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1}. \tag{3.10}$$

Next,

$$[x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)]_{xx} = x\left(\partial^2_{xx}x - \overline{\Gamma}^k_{xx}\partial_k x\right) = -x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{xx}; \tag{3.11}$$

this term is also even to order $2m-2$ with leading order 2 and first odd coefficient

$$\{[x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)]_{xx}\}_{2m-1} = -\frac{1}{2}(2m-1)j. \tag{3.12}$$

Finally,

$$[x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)]_{x\alpha} = x\left(\partial^2_{x\alpha}x - \overline{\Gamma}^k_{x\alpha}\partial_k x\right) = -x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{x\alpha}. \tag{3.13}$$

From the appendix, this term has leading order 1 and is odd to order $2m-1$, and we do not need more information about it.

Since $G^{0\alpha} \equiv 0$, the first term of $\mathcal{B}$,

$$\begin{aligned} -2(2m-2)\overline{G}^{ij}\cdot x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{ij} \\ = -2(2m-2)(\overline{G}^{xx}\cdot x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{xx} + \overline{G}^{\alpha\beta}\cdot x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{\alpha\beta}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{-2(2m-2)\overline{G}^{ij}\cdot x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{ij}\right\}_{2m-1} \\ =& -2(2m-2)\left(\{x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{xx}\}_{2m-1} + h_0^{\alpha\beta}\{x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{\alpha\beta})\}_{2m-1}\right) \\ =& -2(2m-2)\left(-\frac{1}{2}(2m-1)\nu + h_0^{\alpha\beta}\frac{1}{2}(2m-1)(h_{2m-1})_{\alpha\beta}\right) \\ =& -(2m-1)(2m-2)\left(-\nu + h_0^{\alpha\beta}\{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1}\right) \\ =& -(2m-1)(2m-2)\left(-\nu + (\mu-\nu)\right) \\ =& -(2m-1)(2m-2)\left(\mu - 2\nu\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

We consider separately the individual summands in the second term of $\mathcal{B}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &-2\overline{g}^{kl}\cdot x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{kl}\cdot\overline{G}^{ij}\overline{g}_{ij} \\ =& -2F\left(\overline{g}^{xx}x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{xx} + 2\overline{g}^{x\alpha}x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{x\alpha} + \overline{g}^{\alpha\beta}x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{\alpha\beta}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

The first of these contributes

$$\begin{aligned} \{-2F\overline{g}^{xx}x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{xx}\}_{2m-1} &= -2\left\{F\overline{g}^{xx}\right\}_0\{x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{xx}\}_{2m-1} \\ &= 2(2m)\frac{1}{2}(2m-1)\nu = 2m(2m-1)\nu. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Using the analysis of (3.13) and the fact that $\overline{g}^{x\alpha}$ has leading order x and is odd to order $2m-1$, the middle term, $-4F\overline{g}^{x\alpha}x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{x\alpha}$ is even to order $2m$, hence contributes

nothing at order $2m-1$. As for the final term,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\{-2F\overline{g}^{\alpha\beta}x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{\alpha\beta}\right\}_{2m-1} &= -2\{F\overline{g}^{\alpha\beta}\}_0\{x\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1}\\ &= -2(2mh_0^{\alpha\beta})\frac{1}{2}(2m-1)\{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1}\\ &= -2m(2m-1)h_0^{\alpha\beta}\{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1}\\ &= -2m(2m-1)(\mu-\nu)\\ &= \ 2m(2m-1)(\nu-\mu).\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Altogether, then,

$$\begin{aligned}\{\mathcal{B}\}_{2m-1} &= -(2m-1)(2m-2)(\mu-2\nu)+2m(2m-1)(2\nu-\mu)\\ &= -2(2m-1)(2m-1)\mu+4(2m-1)(2m-1)\nu\\ &= 2(2m-1)^2(2\nu-\mu)\ .\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

We turn finally to $\mathcal{C}$. The computations are outlined in the appendix. Since $G^{x\alpha}=0$ we find

$$\overline{G}^{ij}\,\mathrm{Rc}(\overline{g})_{ij} = \overline{G}^{xx}\,\mathrm{Rc}(\overline{g})_{xx} + \overline{G}^{\alpha\beta}\,\mathrm{Rc}(\overline{g})_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{3.20}$$

and so from the appendix

$$\begin{aligned}\{\mathcal{C}\}_{2m-1} &= \{-2x^2\overline{G}^{ij}\,\mathrm{Rc}(\overline{g})_{ij}\}_{2m-1}\\ &= \ -2\{x^2\,\mathrm{Rc}(\overline{g})_{xx}\}_{2m-1} - 2h_0^{\alpha\beta}\{x^2\,\mathrm{Rc}(\overline{g})_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1}\\ &= \ -2(-(2m-1)(m-1)h_0^{\alpha\beta}\{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1})\\ &\quad -2h_0^{\alpha\beta}(-(2m-1)(m-1)\{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1})\\ &= 4(m-1)(2m-1)h_0^{\alpha\beta}\{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1}\\ &= 4(m-1)(2m-1)(\mu-\nu).\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Collecting all of this information, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mu' &= \{\mathcal{A}\}_{2m-1}+\{\mathcal{B}\}_{2m-1}+\{\mathcal{C}\}_{2m-1}\\ &= \ -4m(2m-1)\nu-(4m-2)(2m-1)\mu+2(2m-1)(4m-2)\nu\\ &\quad +4(m-1)(2m-1)(\mu-\nu)\\ &= \ -2(2m-1)\mu.\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

To obtain the evolution equation for $\nu=\{\overline{g}_{xx}\}_{2m-1}$, recall that

$$\partial_t\overline{g}_{xx} = x^2\partial_t g_{xx} = -2\overline{E}(g)_{xx}. \tag{3.23}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}-2\overline{E}(g)_{xx} = \ &-2(2m-1)\,(1-|dx|^2_{\overline{g}})\,\overline{g}_{xx} - 2x\,[(n-2)\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\overline{g}}(x)_{xx}+(\Delta_{\overline{g}}x)\,\overline{g}_{xx}]\\ &-2x^2\,\mathrm{Rc}(\overline{g})_{xx}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Computing as above gives

$$\nu' = (2m-3)(2m-1)\mu. \tag{3.25}$$

□

Applying the result of Proposition 3.3 we immediately obtain

Corollary 3.4. *If* $(\mu(0),\nu(0)) = (0,0)$ *then* $(\mu(t),\nu(t)) = (0,0)$ *along the flow. In particular,* $h_0^{\alpha\beta}\{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1} = 0$ *for* $0 \le t < T_0$.

We now prove Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Suppose that g^0 is a volume renormalizable metric to order $2m-2$. Let $h_0 \in \mathfrak{c}(g^0)$ and x the corresponding special boundary defining function, so that

$$g^0 = \frac{dx^2 + h_x}{x^2}, \tag{3.26}$$

where $h_x|_{x=0} = h_0$. As usual, fix tangential coordinates y^α extended to be constant along the integral curves of $\nabla^{\overline{g}}x$.

Let $g(t)$ satisfy the Ricci flow equation. We have already shown that it remains even to order $2m-2$. We write x_t for the evolving special boundary defining function corresponding to $g(t)$ and recall that $\mathfrak{c}(g(t))$ is constant in t, so that

$$g(t) = \frac{dx_t^2 + h_0 + h_2 x_t^2 + \cdots + h_{2m-2}(t)x_t^{2m-2} + h_{2m-1}(t)x_t^{2m-1} + \cdots}{x_t^2}\ . \tag{3.27}$$

We wish to prove that $\mathrm{tr}^{h_0} h_{2m-1}(t) = 0$.

Denoting by x the special bdf for g^0, we have

$$x_t = e^{\omega_t(x,y)}x\ , \tag{3.28}$$

where ω_t vanishes to second order since the conformal infinity is fixed and is even to order $2m$. Computing further shows that

$$dx_t = (x\partial_x\omega_t + 1)e^{\omega_t}dx + (x\partial_{y^\alpha}\omega_t)e^{\omega_t}dy^\alpha\ , \tag{3.29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} dx_t^2 =& (x\partial_x\omega_t + 1)^2 e^{2\omega_t}dx^2 + 2(x\partial_x\omega_t + 1)(x\partial_{y^\alpha}\omega_t)e^{2\omega_t}dy^\alpha dx \\ &+ (x\partial_{y^\alpha}\omega_t)(x\partial_{y^\beta}\omega_t)e^{2\omega_t}dy^\alpha dy^\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Inserting this into the expression for $g(t)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) =& (x\partial_x\omega_t + 1)^2\,\frac{dx^2}{x^2} + 2\,(x\partial_x\omega_t + 1)\,(x\partial_{y^\alpha}\omega_t)\,\frac{dy^\alpha dx}{x^2} \\ &+ \Big[e^{-2\omega}(h_0)_{\alpha\beta} + (h_2)_{\alpha\beta}x^2 + \cdots + (h_{2m-2})_{\alpha\beta}e^{(2m-4)\omega}x^{2m-2} \\ &+ (h_{2m-1})_{\alpha\beta}e^{(2m-3)\omega}x^{2m-1} + \cdots + (x\partial_{y^\alpha}\omega_t)(x\partial_{y^\beta}\omega_t)e^{2\omega}\Big]\,\frac{dy^\alpha dy^\beta}{x^2}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Now observe the following. By the proof of Lemma 1.7,

the coefficient of dx^2 is even to order $2m-2$, and its first odd coefficient is $2(2m-1)\{\omega\}_{2m-1}$, which vanishes since ω is even to order $2m$. The coefficient of $dxdy^\alpha$ is odd to order $2m-1$. The entire coefficient of $dy^\alpha dy^\beta$ in square brackets of is even to order $2m-2$. Using the expansion for ω, its leading term is h_0 while the term at order $2m-1$ equals $(h_{2m-1})_{\alpha\beta} - 2\{\omega\}_{2m-1}(h_0)_{\alpha\beta}$. Thus Corollary 3.4 shows that $\mathrm{tr}^{h_0}h_{2m-1}(t) = 0$ along the flow. □

4. Variation of renormalized curvature functionals

We now take up the proof of Theorem B. We begin with a quick review of Riesz renormalization, referring to [1] and [7] for more details, and then study the variation of renormalized curvature integrals.

4.1. **Regularized and renormalized integrals.**

Fix a product decomposition $[0,\epsilon_0)\times\partial M$ of some neighborhood of ∂M, with projection on the first factor a fixed defining function. Recall that a function u on M is said to be polyhomogeneous if, in terms of some (and hence any) boundary defining function x, there is an expansion

$$u \sim \sum_j \sum_{\ell=0}^{N_j} u_{j\ell}(y) x^{\gamma_j} (\log x)^\ell; \tag{4.1}$$

here γ_j is a sequence of complex numbers with $\operatorname{Re}\gamma_j \to \infty$ and the coefficient functions $u_{j\ell}$ are $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ on ∂M. For simplicity we assume that $\operatorname{Re}\gamma_0 \leq \operatorname{Re}\gamma_j$ for all j. This expansion and the differentiated expansion to any order hold in the traditional sense.

Definition 4.1. *If u is polyhomogeneous at ∂M, then*

$$z \mapsto I(z) := \int_M x^z u dV_g \tag{4.2}$$

extends to a meromorphic function in the entire complex plane. By definition, the Riesz regularized *integral of u is the finite part*

$$\prescript{R}{}{\int_M} u\, dV_g := \operatorname*{FP}_{z=0} I(z). \tag{4.3}$$

When $I(z)$ has a simple pole at $z=0$, $\operatorname*{FP}_{z=0} I(z) = \lim_{z\to 0}\Big(I(z) - \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} I(z)\Big)$.

To write this out more carefully, recall first that $dV_g = x^{-n}\sqrt{\frac{\det h_x}{\det h_0}} dx dV_{h_0} =: x^{-n} J(x,y)\, dx dV_{h_0}$, where the Jacobian factor $J(x,y)$ has the expansion $\sum_{k\geq 0} J_k x^k$. Writing the expansion of u as above, we see that $I(z)$ is holomorphic on $\{z\in\mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(z) > n-1-\operatorname{Re}\gamma_0\}$. Now consider each term

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_M x^{z-n+\gamma_j+i} (\log x)^\ell u_{j\ell}(y) J_i(y)\, dxdy \\ &= \int_0^\epsilon \int_{\partial M} x^{z-n+\gamma_j+i} (\log x)^\ell u_{j\ell}(y) J_i(y)\, dxdy \\ &\quad + \int_{x\geq\epsilon}\int_{\partial M} x^{z-n+\gamma_j+i} (\log x)^\ell u_{j\ell}(y) J_i(y)\, dxdy, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where $J(x,y)\sim \sum J_i(y) x^i$. The second term on the right is entire in z, while the first extends meromorphically with a pole of order $\ell+1$ at $z = n-\gamma_j-i-1$.

As an application, suppose that x and $\tilde{x} = xe^\omega$ are two boundary defining functions, where $\omega\in\mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{M})$, then $\tilde{x}^z - x^z = (e^{z\omega}-1)x^z =: A_j(y,z)x^{j+z}$. Suppose also that $u\sim\sum_{j\geq 0} u_j(y)x^j$, i.e., all γ_j are nonnegative integers and each $N_j = 0$. A short calculation now shows that if $I(z)$ and $\tilde{I}(z)$ are the regularizations with respect to these two defining functions, then near $z=0$,

$$\tilde{I}(z) - I(z) = \int_{\partial M} C(y,0)\, dV_{h_0} + \mathcal{O}(z)\ , \tag{4.5}$$

where $C(y,z) = \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} u_i(y) A_j(y,z) J_k(y)$. The following is an immediate consequence:

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that g and u are both even to order $n-2=2m-2$. Then $C(y,0)=\frac{1}{2}u_0A_0\mathrm{tr}^{h_0}h_{n-1}$. If, on the other hand, the expansion for u starts with the term x^p (p even), then $C(y,0)=\frac{1}{2}u_pA_0\mathrm{tr}^{h_0}h_{n-1-p}$.*

Proof. By the parity assumptions, $C(y,0)=u_0(y)A_0(y,0)J_{n-1}(y)$; all other terms vanish. It remains to show that

$$J_{n-1}=\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}^{h_0}h_{n-1}. \tag{4.6}$$

However, by Proposition 1.5, if g is even to order $2m-2$ then ω is even to order $2m$, and by simple calculation $\sqrt{h}/\sqrt{h_0}$ is even to order $2m$ as well. Thus $(e^{z\omega-1})\sqrt{h}/\sqrt{h_0}$ is even to order $2m-2$ and the coefficient of x^{2m-1} is $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}^{h_0}h_{n-1}$.

□

The key example is $u\equiv 1$, in which case the renormalized integral is the renormalized volume. If g is volume renormalizable, then $\mathrm{tr}^{h_0}h_{n-1}=0$, which shows that the renormalized volume is well-defined with these hypotheses. A very similar argument shows that

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose the conditions of Proposition 4.2 hold and $\mathrm{tr}^{h_0}h_{n-1}=0$. Then the renormalized integral of u is independent of the choice of conformal representative of the boundary metric.*

4.2. **Variations of renormalized integrals.** Let g_t be a family of metrics on a compact manifold Z, and consider the Riemannian curvature functional

$$I_Z(g):=\int_Z u(g)\,dV_g, \tag{4.7}$$

where $u(g)$ is some scalar quantity defined from the curvature tensor and its covariant derivatives. One is often interested in critical points of this action, which are solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equation. The first step in computing these critical points is the variation of the integrand

$$\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}\int_Z u_t\,dV_{g_t}=\int_Z\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}(u_t\,dV_{g_t}), \tag{4.8}$$

followed by integration by parts. We are interested in the corresponding calculation on a conformally compact manifold. In particular, we shall only take the action of partially even volume renormalizable metrics and consider variations amongst such metrics. Thus suppose that $g(t)$ is volume renormalizable for each t and $g^0=:g$; suppose also that the conformal infinity of $g(t)$ is independent of t, with fixed representative h_0. Let x_t be the corresponding family of special boundary defining functions, and write $x_t=e^{\omega_t}x$. Let $u_t=u(g_t)$ be the scalar quantity associated to g_t. Set

$$\mathcal{L}(g)=\left(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}u_t+\frac{u_0}{2}\mathrm{tr}^g\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}g_t\right) \tag{4.9}$$

Writing $x_0=x$ and $u_0=u$, then we have

Theorem 4.4. *With the notation above,*

$$\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}{}^{R}\!\!\int u_t\,dV_{g_t}=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}\operatorname*{FP}_{z=0}\int_M x_t^z u_t dV_{g_t}=\operatorname*{FP}_{z=0}\int_M x^z\mathcal{L}(g)dV_g\ , \tag{4.10}$$

where the local expression for $\mathcal{L}$ is exactly the same as in the compact case.

Proof. We begin by computing

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \operatorname*{FP}_{z=0} \int_M x_t^z u_t \, dV_{g_t} &= \operatorname*{FP}_{z=0} \int_M z x_0^{z-1} \dot{x}_t u_0 \, dV_{g^0} + \operatorname*{FP}_{z=0} \int_M x_0^z \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} (u_t \, dV_{g_t}) \\ &= \operatorname*{FP}_{z=0} \left(z \int_M x^z \dot{\omega}_t u \, dV_g \right) + \operatorname*{FP}_{z=0} \int_M x^z \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} (u_t \, dV_{g_t}) \\ &= \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} \int_M x^z \dot{\omega}_t u \, dV_g + \operatorname*{FP}_{z=0} \int_M x^z \mathcal{L}(g) \, dV_g \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where $\dot{x}_t := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\big|_{t=0} x_t$, and similarly for $\dot{\omega}_t$.

Since ω is even to order $2m$, so is $\dot{\omega}$. In addition, $\dot{\omega} \in \mathcal{O}(x^2)$ since the conformal infinity is fixed. This produces a shift by 2 in the terms in the expansion of $\dot{\omega} u \, dV_g$. This means that poles arising from integrating this expression appear at odd integers. In particular, there is no pole at $z = 0$, which means that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \operatorname*{FP}_{z=0} \int_M x_t^z u_t dV_{g_t} = \operatorname*{FP}_{z=0} \int_M x^z \mathcal{L}(g) dV_g \ . \tag{4.12}$$

□

Theorem 4.5 (Theorem B)**.** *Suppose that (M^n, g^0), $n = 2m$, is volume renormalizable, and let $g(t)$ be a solution of (0.3) with $g(0) = g^0$. Then along the flow,*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathrm{RenV} = -\overset{R}{\int}_M S(g(t)) + n(n-1) dV_{g_t}. \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. Proposition 3.1 asserts that $g(t)$ remains volume renormalizable along the normalized Ricci flow. Consequently the renormalized volume is defined along the flow.

Recall that on a compact manifold the variation of the volume form $g \mapsto dV_g$ equals $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}^{g(t)} \dot{g}(t)$, and for the normalized Ricci flow,

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}^{g(t)} \partial_t g = -\mathrm{tr}^{g(t)} E_{ij} = -\left(S(g(t)) + n(n-1) \right). \tag{4.14}$$

Finally, by Theorem 4.4,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathrm{RenV}(M, g(t)) = -\overset{R}{\int}_M S(g(t)) + n(n-1) dV_{g_t}, \tag{4.15}$$

as asserted. □

Appendix A. Parity computations

In this appendix we record a number of parity computations used above.

Lemma A.1. *Let g be a metric which is even to order $2m-2$. Suppose that x is a defining function for which $|dx|^2_{\overline{g}} = \overline{g}^{xx} \sim 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} A_{2j} x^{2j} + A_{2m-1} x^{2m-1}$; then completing x to a coordinate system (x, y), we have that*

$$\overline{g}_{xx} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{2j} x^{2j} + a_{2m-1} x^{2m-1} \ , \tag{A.1}$$

where $a_{2m-1} = -A_{2m-1}$.

Proof. We use the formula

$$\overline{g}^{xx}\overline{g}_{xx} + \overline{g}^{x\alpha}\overline{g}_{x\alpha} = 1, \tag{A.2}$$

recalling that since $\overline{g}$ is even to order $2m-2$, the coefficients $\overline{g}_{\alpha x}$ and $\overline{g}^{\alpha x}$ are odd to order $2m-1$, hence may be ignored since their product do not contribute to an odd term before order $2m+1$. Writing $\overline{g}_{xx} \sim 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{2j}x^{2j} + a_{2m-1}x^{2m-1}$, we see that the coefficient at order $2m-1$ of the product $\overline{g}^{xx}\overline{g}_{xx}$ equals $a_{2m-1} + A_{2m-1}$. However, this coefficient vanishes, which shows that the term in g_{xx} at order $2m-1$ is $-A_{2m-1}$. □

Suppose that $f(x,y) \sim a_0(y) + a_1(y)x + \dots$ is smooth. To simplify notation below, we denote by $\{f\}_n$ the n^{th} coefficient function $a_n(y)$. The following is obvious:

Lemma A.2. *If f and g are smooth functions which are even as functions of x to order $2k$, then the product fg is even to order $2k$, with $\{fg\}_0 = \{f\}_0\{g\}_0$ and $\{fg\}_{2k+1} = \{f\}_{2k+1}\{g\}_0 + \{f\}_0\{g\}_{2k+1}$.*

Let $\overline{g}$ be a metric that is even to order $2m-2$, i.e.,

$$\overline{g} = \overline{g}_{xx}dx^2 + 2\overline{g}_{x\alpha}dxdy^\alpha + \overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}dy^\alpha dy^\beta \ , \tag{A.3}$$

where $\overline{g}_{xx} = 1 + \{\overline{g}_{xx}\}_2x^2 + \cdots$, $\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta} = (h_0)_{\alpha\beta} + \{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_2x^2 + \cdots$ are even functions to order $2m-2$, and $\overline{g}_{x\alpha}$ has leading order x and is odd to order $2m-1$.

In the first table we compute the expansions of 0-derivatives (i.e., derivatives with respect to $x\partial_x$, $x\partial_{y^\alpha}$) of the various metric components. Note that because of the prefactor x in the derivative, all of these terms vanish at $x=0$; this will be important in view of Lemma A.2. An asterisk in this table denotes a coefficient we do not need to calculate.

Component	Parity to order	Final coefficient
$x\partial_x\overline{g}_{xx}$	Even to order $2m-2$	$\{x\partial_x\overline{g}_{xx}\}_{2m-1} = (2m-1)\{\overline{g}_{xx}\}_{2m-1}$
$x\partial_\alpha\overline{g}_{xx}$	Odd to order $2m-1$	$*$
$x\partial_x\overline{g}_{x\mu}$	Odd to order $2m-1$	$*$
$x\partial_\nu\overline{g}_{x\mu}$	Even to order $2m$	$*$
$x\partial_x\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}$	Even to order $2m-2$	$\left\{x\partial_x\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\right\}_{2m-1} = (2m-1)\{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1}$
$x\partial_\nu\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}$	Odd to order $2m-1$	$*$

As for the Christoffel symbols, once again each of these vanishes at $x=0$, but It emerges from this computation that any such symbol with an even number of x components is odd to order $2m-1$. In subsequent computations for the Ricci tensor such symbols plays no role in the first odd term in the expansion of quantities of interest.

Component	Parity to order	Final coefficient
$x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{xx}$	Even to order $2m-2$	$\{x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{xx}\}_{2m-1} = \frac{1}{2}(2m-1)\{\overline{g}_{xx}\}_{2m-1}$
$x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{\alpha\beta}$	Even to order $2m-2$	$\{x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1} = -\frac{1}{2}(2m-1)\{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1}$
$x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{\alpha x}$	Odd to order $2m-1$	$*$
$x\overline{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\alpha x}$	Even to order $2m-2$	$\left\{x\overline{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\alpha x}\right\}_{2m-1} = \frac{1}{2}(2m-1)h_0^{\gamma\beta}\{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1}$
$x\overline{\Gamma}^\gamma_{xx}$	Odd to order $2m-1$	$*$
$x\overline{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$	Odd to order $2m-1$	$*$

These results are proved by straightforward calculations.

We now perform a similar analysis on the components of the Ricci curvature. We write this out a bit more carefully. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^2\overline{Rc}_{xx} &= x^2\overline{Rm}_{\alpha x x}{}^{\alpha} \\ &= x^2\partial_\alpha\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{xx} - x^2\partial_x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha x} + x\overline{\Gamma}^s_{xx}x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha s} - x\overline{\Gamma}^s_{\alpha x}x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{xs} \\ &= x^2\partial_\alpha\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{xx} - x^2\partial_x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha x} + x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{xx}x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha x} + x\overline{\Gamma}^\mu_{xx}x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha\mu} - x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{\alpha x}x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{xx} \\ &\quad - x\overline{\Gamma}^\mu_{\alpha x}x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{x\mu} \\ &= x\partial_\alpha\left(x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{xx}\right) - x^2\partial_x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha x} + x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{xx}x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha x} + x\overline{\Gamma}^\mu_{xx}x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha\mu} - x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{\alpha x}x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{xx} \\ &\quad - x\overline{\Gamma}^\mu_{\alpha x}x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{x\mu} \\ &= x\partial_\alpha\left(x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{xx}\right) - x\partial_x\left(x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha x}\right) + x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha x} + x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{xx}x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha x} + x\overline{\Gamma}^\mu_{xx}x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha\mu} \\ &\quad - x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{\alpha x}x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{xx} - x\overline{\Gamma}^\mu_{\alpha x}x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{x\mu}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

The first and the final four terms in this last expression are even to order $2m$ and thus do not contribute to the term at order $2m-1$; this uses that each $x\overline{\Gamma}$ vanishes at $x=0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \{x^2\overline{Rc}_{xx}\}_{2m-1} &= \{-x\partial_x\left(x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha x}\right) + x\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha x}\}_{2m-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(-(2m-1)^2 + 2m - 1\right)h_0^{\alpha\beta}\{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1} \\ &= -(2m-1)(m-1)h_0^{\alpha\beta}\{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

The other relevant set of components is

$$\begin{aligned} x^2\overline{Rc}_{\alpha\beta} &= x^2\overline{Rm}_{s\alpha\beta}{}^{s} \\ &= x^2\left(\partial_s\overline{\Gamma}^s_{\alpha\beta} - \partial_\alpha\overline{\Gamma}^s_{s\beta} + \overline{\Gamma}^r_{\alpha\beta}\overline{\Gamma}^s_{sr} - \overline{\Gamma}^r_{s\beta}\overline{\Gamma}^s_{\alpha r}\right) \\ &= x^2\left(\partial_x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_\mu\overline{\Gamma}^\mu_{\alpha\beta} - \partial_\alpha\overline{\Gamma}^x_{x\beta} - \partial_\alpha\overline{\Gamma}^\mu_{\mu\beta} + \overline{\Gamma}^x_{\alpha\beta}\overline{\Gamma}^x_{xx} + \overline{\Gamma}^x_{\alpha\beta}\overline{\Gamma}^\mu_{\mu x}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \overline{\Gamma}^\mu_{\alpha\beta}\overline{\Gamma}^x_{x\mu} + \overline{\Gamma}^\mu_{\alpha\beta}\overline{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\lambda\mu} - \overline{\Gamma}^x_{x\beta}\overline{\Gamma}^x_{\alpha x} - \overline{\Gamma}^x_{\mu\beta}\overline{\Gamma}^\mu_{\alpha x} - \overline{\Gamma}^\mu_{x\beta}\overline{\Gamma}^x_{\alpha\mu} - \overline{\Gamma}^\mu_{\lambda\beta}\overline{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\alpha\mu}\right)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

This entire expression is even to order $2m-2$, and moreover all terms of the form $(x\overline{\Gamma})(x\overline{\Gamma})$ are even to order $2m$, as are the derivative terms involving tangential partial derivatives. We thus find that

$$\begin{aligned} \{x^2\overline{Rc}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1} &= \{x^2\partial_x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1} \\ &= \{x\partial_x(x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{\alpha\beta}) - x\overline{\Gamma}^x_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(2m-1)^2\{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1} + \frac{1}{2}(2m-1)\{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1} \\ &= -(2m-1)(m-1)\{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{2m-1}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

We do not calculate the components $x^2\overline{Rc}_{x\alpha}$ since these do not come up in our arguments.

References

[1] P. Albin, *Renormalizing curvature integrals on Poincaré-Einstein manifolds*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009) 140–169.

[2] E. Bahuaud, *Ricci flow of conformally compact metrics*, Ann. Inst. H Poincaré: Anal. Non-Lin. **28** (2011) 813–835.

[3] E. Bahuaud, R. Mazzeo, and E. Woolgar, *Renormalized volume and the evolution of APEs*, J. Geom. Flows, **1** (2015) 126–138.

[4] A. Besse, *Einstein manifolds* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) 10. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. xii+510 pp. ISBN: 3–540–15279–2.
[5] B.L. Chen and X.P. Zhu, *Uniqueness of the Ricci flow on complete noncompact manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **74** (2006), no. 1, 119–154.
[6] P. Chruściel, E. Delay, J. Lee, and D. Skinner, *Boundary regularity of conformally compact Einstein metrics* J. Diff. Geom. **69** (2005), no. 1, 111–136.
[7] Z. Djadli, C. Guillarmou, and M. Herzlich, *Opérateurs géométriques, invariants conformes et variétés asymptotiquement hyperboliques*, Panoramas et synthèses 26 (Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2008).
[8] C. Fefferman and C.R. Graham, *Conformal invariants*, in *Élie Cartan et les Mathématiques d'Aujourd'hui*, Astérisque (numéro hors série, 1985), 95–116.
[9] C. Fefferman and C.R. Graham, *The ambient metric*, Princeton Annals of Mathematical Studies **178**, Princeton, NJ (2012).
[10] C.R. Graham, *Volume and area renormalizations for conformally compact Einstein metrics* in *The Proceedings of the* 19^{th} *Winter School "Geometry and Physics"* (Srni, 1999). Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. No. 63 (2000), 31–42.
[11] C.R. Graham and J.M. Lee, *Einstein metrics with prescribed conformal infinity on the ball*, Adv. Math. **87** (1991) 186–225.
[12] C.R. Graham and E. Witten, *Conformal anomaly of submanifold observables in AdS/CFT correspondence*, Nucl. Phys. B 546 (1999) 52–64.
[13] C. Guillarmou, *Meromorphic properties of the resolvent on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds* Duke Math. J. **129** (2005), no. 1, 1–37.
[14] R. Mazzeo and R. Melrose, *Meromorphic extension of the resolvent on complete spaces with asymptotically constant negative curvature* J. Funct. Anal. **75** (1987), no. 2, 260–310.
[15] F. Rochon, *Polyhomogénéité des métriques asymptotiquement hyperboliques complexes le long du flot de Ricci*, J. Geom. Anal. 25 (2015), 2103–2132.
[16] A. Vasy, *Microlocal analysis of asymptotically hyperbolic and Kerr-de Sitter spaces* Invent. Math. **194** (2013), no. 2, 381–513.

Department of Mathematics, Seattle University, 901 12th Ave, Seattle, WA 98122
E-mail address: bahuaude(at)seattleu.edu

Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305
E-mail address: mazzeo(at)math.stanford.edu

Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2G1, Canada
E-mail address: ewoolgar(at)ualberta.ca